

XIV. *On the derangements of certain transit instruments by the effects of temperature.* By ROBERT WOODHOUSE, A. M. F. R. S. &c.

Read April 26, 1827.

IN the Philosophical Transactions for 1825, Part II. p. 418, I gave an account of the transit instrument belonging to the Observatory at Cambridge. Amongst other circumstances, I mentioned one of a derangement of the telescope arising from an unequal expansion of its braces. I established, as I thought, by direct experiments, the existence of such a derangement, and pointed out its cause. In a subsequent volume of the Transactions (1826, Part II. p. 75), I gave an instance of the quantity of such derangement caused by the sun's rays falling on the upper western brace. That circumstance caused a retardation of 20 seconds in the passage of Polaris at its lower culmination.

The removal of the braces, which, after the above experiments I judged to be a necessary measure, has, from one cause or another, been delayed till this time. I have however derived some good from my procrastination, since it has enabled me to institute the experiments which I am now about to detail.

These experiments have been made in consequence of some observations lately presented to the Royal Society, a copy of which Mr. SOUTH, their author, has been kind enough to send me. The results which Mr. SOUTH has drawn from these observations, in what regards the effect of the braces of his

transit instrument, are very different from mine. They differ not in degree, but altogether. According to Mr. SOUTH, his transit instrument is alike steady and faithful to its duties, whether it be in shade or be exposed to the noon day sun. His instrument, in some respects, that is in the putting together of the tubes composing the telescope and axis, is different from mine ; but it is furnished with similar braces ; and that these should in no wise derange the instrument to which they are fixed, whilst similar ones, under certain circumstances, so greatly deranged mine, was a fact that considerably surprised me. Amongst other considerations a natural suggestion arose to my mind, whether I had not, from want of sufficient experience, or from negligence, committed some great mistake. To resolve this doubt I instituted the following experiments.

By a great number of previous observations the polar intervals of the wires of my transit (the perforated axis being towards the west) are

Upper culmination.		Lower culmination.	
m.	s.	m.	s.
10	39.4	10	39.2
	36.2		32.5
	28.7		38.2
	38.2		28.7
	32.5		36.2
	39.2		39.4

Hence the numbers to be added to the observed transits at the 1st, 2d, 3d, &c. wires, in order to compute the transits at the succeeding wires, will be represented by the two following tables.

Table I. Upper culmination of Polaris.

Nos. to be added to the transit at the 1st wire.	2d wire.	3d wire.	4th wire.	5th wire.	6th wire.
m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.
10 39.4	10 36.2	10 28.7	10 38.2	10 32.5	10 39.2
21 15.6	21 4.9	21 6.9	21 10.7	21 11.7	
31 44.3	31 43.1	31 39.4	31 49.9		
42 22.5	42 15.6	42 18.6			
52 55.	52 54.8				
63 34.2					

Table II. Lower culmination.

m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.
10 39.2	10 32.5	10 38.2	10 28.7	10 36.2	10 39.4
21 11.7	21 10.7	21 6.9	21 4.9	21 15.6	
31 49.9	31 39.4	31 43.1	31 44.3		
42 18.6	42 15.6	42 22.5			
52 54.8	52 55				
63 34.2					

Oct. 16, 1826. Lower culmination.

1	2	3	4	5	6	
m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.		m. s.	Polaris S. P.
26 49	37 29	48 4	58 43.5		19 27	

After the star's passage over the middle wire, a warm blanket was applied to the upper eastern and lower western brace: light intervening clouds prevented the observation of the star's passage over the 5th wire, and rendered uncertain (not more, however, than to the extent of 4 seconds) that at the 6th wire.

						Computed transit at 6th wire.
From transit at 1st wire	26 <sup>m</sup>	49 <sup>s</sup>	+	52 <sup>m</sup>	54 <sup>s</sup> .8	- - 1 <sup>h</sup> 19 <sup>m</sup> 43 <sup>s</sup> .8
2nd	37	29	+	42	15.6	- - 44.6
3d	48	4	+	31	43.1	- - 47.1
4th	58	43.5	+	21	4.9	- - 48.4
Computed mean transit						- - - 1 19 45.97
Observed transit						- - - 1 19 27
Excess of computed above observed transit						- - - 18.97

This acceleration of 18<sup>s</sup>.97 in the passage of Polaris indicates, since the culmination was an inferior one, a deviation of the telescope to the west, which the expansions of the upper eastern and lower western brace are both disposed to produce.

#### October 17. Upper culmination.

1	2	3	4	5	Polaris.
m. s. 27 6	m. s. 37 45	m. s. 48 23	m. s. 58 52	m. s. 9 47	

After the star had passed the middle wire a warmed blanket was applied to the same braces as before.

						Computed transit at 5th
From transit at 1st	27 <sup>m</sup>	6 <sup>s</sup>	+	42 <sup>m</sup>	22 <sup>s</sup> .5	- - 1 <sup>h</sup> 9 <sup>m</sup> 28 <sup>s</sup> .5
2nd	37	45	+	31	43.1	- - 28.1
3d	48	23	+	21	6.9	- - 29.9
4th	58	52	+	10	38.2	- - 30.2
Computed mean transit at 5th wire						- - - 1 9 29.173
Observed transit						- - - 1 9 47
						17.827

17<sup>s</sup>.827 is then the *retardation* of the passage of the star, indicating, since the culmination was a superior one, a deviation of the telescope to the west, as in the preceding experiment.

## October 18. Lower culmination.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
m. s. 26 48		m. s. 48 6		m. s. 9 46	m. s. 20 15	m. s. 30 52	Polaris S. P.

Clouds prevented the observations of the star's passages over the 2d and 4th wires ; but, after the *time* of the passage over the 4th wire, a warmed blanket was applied to the upper western and lower eastern brace, and suffered to remain on, till the star had passed the last wire.

			Computed transits at		
			5th	6th	7th
From transit at 1st	26 <sup>m</sup> 48 <sup>s</sup> +	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 42^m 18^s.6 \\ 52 \quad 54.8 \\ 63 \quad 34.2 \end{array} \right\}$	6°.6	42.8	22.2
at 3rd	48 6 +	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 21 \quad 6.9 \\ 31 \quad 43.1 \\ 42 \quad 22.5 \end{array} \right\}$	12.9	4 1	28.5
Computed mean transits			9.5	45.95	25.35
Observed			46	15	52
Excesses of observed above computed			36.5	29.05	26.65

which excesses indicate a deviation of the transit telescope to the east.

## October 21. Upper culmination.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
m. s. 26 58	m. s. 37 39	m. s. 48 18		m. s. 9 31	m. s. 20 3	m. s. 30 47	Polaris.

After the *time* of the star's passage over the 4th wire (clouds preventing the observation of the passage) a warmed blanket was applied to the braces on the western side.

		Computed transits at		
		5th	6th	7th
From transit at 1st	$26^m 58^s + \begin{Bmatrix} 42^m 22^s.5 \\ 52 \ 55 \\ 63 \ 34 \end{Bmatrix}$	20°.5	53 <sup>s</sup>	32 <sup>s</sup>
at 2d	$37 \ 39 + \begin{Bmatrix} 31 \ 43^s.1 \\ 42 \ 15.6 \\ 52 \ 54.8 \end{Bmatrix}$	22 .1	54 .6	33 .8
at 3d	$48 \ 18 + \begin{Bmatrix} 21 \ 6.9 \\ 31 \ 39.4 \\ 42 \ 18.6 \end{Bmatrix}$	24 .9	57 .4	36 .6
Mean computed passages		9 <sup>m</sup> 22 .5	19 <sup>m</sup> 55 <sup>s</sup>	30 <sup>m</sup> 34.13
Observed - - -		9 31	20 3	30 47
Excesses of observed above computed - -		8.5	8	12.87

These excesses indicate a deviation of the instrument to the west; but their quantities show that the deviation arose from the *difference* of the effects produced by the expansions, since it is only one third of the deviation produced by applying the blanket diagonally to the braces.

It is not at all essential to the explanation I have given of the cause of the instrument's deviation, that the braces on the same side, even if equally heated, should exactly counteract each other. The effect of counteraction, under such circumstances would, probably, vary with the individual instrument. It might be greater in one than in another of similar construction; but, in the above experiment, it is not at all likely that the braces were equally heated, since, probably, they were not enveloped either with equal portions of the blanket, or portions equally heated.

#### Oct. 23. Upper culmination.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Polaris.
m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	
27 1	37 43	48 12	58 46	9 28	20 19	31 1	

After the star had passed the 1st and 2d wire, fearing, from the appearance of the sky, that clouds might prevent me from observing the star on the middle wire, I applied a blanket (from want of sufficient preparation only moderately warmed) for about 9 minutes, to the upper western brace. After the star's passage over the fifth wire, the blanket, more heated than before, was applied to the lower western brace, and kept on till the star had passed all the wires.

						Computed passage at 3d wire.
From passage at 1st	27 <sup>m</sup>	1 <sup>s</sup>	+ 21 <sup>m</sup>	15 <sup>s</sup> .6	- - -	48 <sup>m</sup> 16 <sup>s</sup> .6
at 2d	37	43	+ 10	36.2	- - -	48 19.2
						<hr/>
	Mean computed passage					- 48 17.9
	Observed					- 48 12
						<hr/>
	Excess					- 5.9

The heating then of the upper western brace caused the instrument, or part of it, to deviate to the east, a result which agrees with all preceding ones. Next, if we suppose the instrument, after the lapse of 20 minutes, to have returned to its usual state, and assume 58<sup>m</sup> 46<sup>s</sup>, and 9<sup>m</sup> 28<sup>s</sup> to be nearly the passages at the 4th and 5th wire, we have

	Computed passages at	
	6th	7th
58 <sup>m</sup> 46 <sup>s</sup> + { 21 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> .7 } { 31 49.9 }	19 <sup>m</sup> 56 <sup>s</sup> .7	30 <sup>m</sup> 35 <sup>s</sup> .9
9 28 + { 10 32.5 } { 21 11.7 }	20 0.5	30 39.7
Mean computed passages	19 58.6	30 37.35
Observed	20 19	31 1
Excesses of observed above computed	20.4	23.65

The effect, therefore, of the expansion of the lower western brace was a retardation of the star, in its upper culmination, to the amount of about 20<sup>s</sup>, a result which accords both with the explanation given of the cause of the instrument's deviation, and with former results.

The above experiments were not conducted, as I have already said, with much attention to nicety, which would have been an useless quality, seeing that the object of the experiments was not to enable me to modify the position or size of the braces, but to justify the measure of entirely discarding them as fallacious auxiliaries of the instrument. With the view, however, of communicating equal accessions of heat rather more accurately than by the preceding imperfect mode of applying a heated blanket, I held, in some of the following experiments, for the same time, and nearly about the same parts, the braces of the instrument, one in each hand.

October 24th. Upper culmination.

2	3	4	5	6	7	
m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	m. s.	Polaris.
37 37	48 19	58 48	9 29	20 5	30 56	

After the star had passed the middle wire, I held, for about 9 minutes, the two western braces, one in each hand.

				Computed transits at	
				5th	6th
From passage at 2d wire	37 <sup>m</sup> 37 <sup>s</sup>	+	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 31^m 43^s.1 \\ 42 \quad 15.6 \end{array} \right\}$	9 <sup>m</sup> 20 <sup>s</sup> .1	19 <sup>m</sup> 52 <sup>s</sup> .7
at 3d	48 19	+	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 21 \quad 6.9 \\ 31 \quad 39.4 \end{array} \right\}$	9 25 .9	19 58 .4
at 4th	58 48	+	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 10 \quad 38.7 \\ 21 \quad 10.7 \end{array} \right\}$	9 26 .7	19 58 .7
Mean computed				- - 9 24 .23	19 56 .6
But observed				- - 9 29	20 5
Excesses				- - 4 .77	8 .4



In this case the deviation of the transit was to the west, and if we suppose equal communications of heat to have been given, by the above mode, to the two braces, it will follow, on the grounds of my explanation, that the lower western brace has more effect in deranging the instrument than the upper.

But that in the above experiment the two braces counter-acted each other, will be understood from the latter part of the observation. After the star had passed the 6th wire, I held, in one hand, for about 9 minutes, the lower western brace,

				Computed passage at 7th wire,
Now	58 <sup>m</sup> 48 <sup>s</sup>	+	31 <sup>m</sup> 49 <sup>s</sup> .9	- - - 30 <sup>m</sup> 37 <sup>s</sup> .9
	Observed passage	-	- - -	30 56
Excess of observed above computed				<u>18 .9</u>

In this case the effect produced by warming one brace was three times that produced by warming the two braces on the same side,

#### Oct. 25. Upper culmination.

4	5	6	7	Polaris.
m. s. 58 48	m. s. 9 16	m. s. 19 58	m. s. 30 56	

After the star had passed the middle wire, the lower eastern brace was held in my hand nearly 9 minutes; and after the star had passed the 6th wire the upper eastern brace was held for about the same time,

				Computed passage at 5th.
1st	$58^m 48^s + 10^m 38^s.2$	-	-	$9^m 26^s.2$
	Observed passage	-	-	<u>9 16</u>
	Deviation to the east	-	-	10 .2
Next,				at 7th.
	$19^m 58^s + 10^m 39^s.2$	-	-	$30^m 37^s.2$
	Observed passage	-	-	<u>30 56</u>
	Deviation to the west	-	-	18 .8

## Oct. 25. Lower culmination.

m.	s.	m.	s.	m.	s.	m.	s.	P	S. P.
58	40	9	8	19	48	30	27		

After the star had passed the middle wire, the shutters to the south were unclosed, and the sun was suffered to shine on the lower western brace alone, which it began to do, but feebly and interruptedly, \* after the star had passed the 5th wire.

				Computed transits at	
				6th	7th
$58^m 40^s +$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 21^m 4^s.9 \\ 31 44.3 \end{array} \right\}$	-	-	$19^m 44^s.9$	$30^m 24^s.3$
$9 8 +$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 10 36.2 \\ 21 15.6 \end{array} \right\}$	-	-	44.2	23.6
Observed	- - - -	-	-	$19 44.55$ 48	$30 23.95$ 27
Deviation to the west	- -	-	-	3.45	3.05

\* During the observations of Polaris, the sky at the upper culminations, was generally clear; at the lower, almost always cloudy to the south, so that I was unable to expose, which I was desirous of doing, first, one brace, and then two braces *on the same side* to the sun's rays. It is not now worth the while to keep the braces on the instrument another half year for the chance of trying this experiment, especially since an English sky may again frustrate my plans.

## October 26. Upper culmination.

4th		5th		Polaris.
m.	s.	m.	s.	
58	48	9	44	

After the star had passed the middle wire I held, for about 9 minutes, the upper eastern and lower western brace, one in each hand.

$$\begin{array}{rclcl}
 \text{Now,} & 58^m 48^s + 10^m 38^s.2 & - & - & 9^m 26^s.2 \\
 & \text{Observed passage} & - & - & 9 \ 44 \\
 & \text{Deviation to the west} & - & - & 17.8
 \end{array}$$

## October 28th. Upper culmination.

4th		5th		Polaris.
m.	s.	m.	s.	
58	47	9	29	

After the star had passed the middle wire, I held, for about 9 minutes, the 2 lower braces, one in each hand.

$$\begin{array}{rclcl}
 & & & & \text{Computed transit at} \\
 & & & & \text{5th.} \\
 & 58^m 47^s + 10^m 38^s.2 & - & - & 9^m 25^s.2 \\
 & \text{Observed} & - & - & 29 \\
 & \text{Deviation to the west} & - & - & 3.8
 \end{array}$$

In this case, as in those of pp. 149, 152, the expansions of the braces counteracted, and nearly balanced, each other, since the deviation does not much exceed the error of observation.

I think I may presume to say, that the foregoing experiments incontrovertibly show that the partial heating of the diagonal braces, or the partial heating of any one of the braces, deranges my transit instrument; the derangement

taking place according to the explanation I gave of it in the Transactions for 1825 and 1826. According also to the principle of that explanation, and the preceding experiments, it follows, that the partial heating of the braces on the same side, or the partial heating of the upper or lower braces, produce counteracting effects, and may, in certain instruments, under certain degrees of temperature, produce balancing effects, as far as the time of the star's passage is concerned. This may be the case with Mr. SOUTH's instrument, and if so, we should at once have an explanation of its seeming inflexibility when exposed to the sun. I hope I am altogether within the bounds of courtesy and fair criticism, when I remark, that I see nothing in Mr. SOUTH's experiments that force me to the conclusion of his instrument being exempt from those infirmities with which mine is afflicted.

In these experiments of Mr. SOUTH's on Polaris, the braces on the same side, whether the eastern or western, are of the same temperature. The retardation, or acceleration, therefore of the star's passage, arises from the difference of the changes produced by temperature in the upper and lower parts of the tube of the telescope; which difference, estimating it by its effect on the time of the star's passage, may be very small, or not greater than the error of observation, or, (for there is nothing improbable in the supposition) insensible.

But it may be said, is not this the bending of facts to suit an hypothesis, instead of adopting the more natural supposition, that the steadiness of the instrument arises from the excellence of its construction. The construction, indeed, of Mr. SOUTH's instrument, in what regards the putting together of the tubes composing the telescope and axis, essentially differs

from mine: but it cannot be *that* circumstance that confers inflexibility on Mr. SOUTH's transit instrument; for, were it so, the Greenwich instrument, which is similarly constructed, and by the same excellent artist, ought to possess the same rigid character. This, however, is not the case. Soon after the publication of my first Paper, the Assistants at Greenwich held in their hands the diagonal braces, and, by examining the meridional mark, detected a considerable deviation in the telescope. But in order to be more sure of the nature of the result arising from the partial heating of the braces, I requested the Astronomer Royal to try, with the Greenwich transit, the first experiment mentioned in this Paper. Mr. POND immediately, with his usual kindness, complied with my request, and the following are the details of the experiment.

**Experiments on the braces of the transit at the Royal  
Observatory (Oct. 25, 1826.)**

With warm flannel applied to one brace, the interval of the	}	9 <sup>m</sup> 41 <sup>s</sup> .5
Pole star passing from centre to 4th wire      -      -      -		
The true interval by observation      -      -      -		10 49.7
Star accelerated      -      -      -      -      -      -		1 8.2
With warm flannel applied to two opposite braces, from	}	9 <sup>m</sup> 15 <sup>s</sup> .0
the 4th to 5th wire      -      -      -      -      -      -		
The true time by observation      -      -      -      -		10 47.5
Acceleration      -      -      -      -      -      -      -		1 32.5
Total acceleration from the centre to the 5th wire      -      -		2 40.7
(Signed)		THOMAS TAYLOR.

The peculiarity of construction then, which the excellent artist who made the Greenwich transit, has used in joining together the tubes composing the telescope and axis, does not prevent the braces from deranging the instrument; nor was

it likely it should: it might render, under the same circumstances, the derangement less, or it might render it greater; for this is a point which I think should be determined solely by experiment. The experiment which I have just quoted, apparently renders the derangement greater; but this is a kind of result on which I lay no stress, since I have no grounds for not knowing that the heat applied at Greenwich was not three or four times what I applied.

From my own experiments, and from the testimony which I have just adduced from Greenwich, I find it, then, extremely difficult to believe that Mr. SOUTH's transit instrument should not obey the influence of expanding braces. I have stated some grounds for suspecting that it is not endowed with that inflexibility which it appears to assume in some of the observations. Not one of these, in my opinion, bears directly upon the point in question. A single observation of Polaris in October, with one brace alone of the instrument exposed to the sun, would have been a better test of its steadiness than all that are recorded. I invite that indefatigable and ardent observer to the trial of this test.

I am unwilling, and indeed not quite prepared, to enter on the difficult subject of the sun's meridional observations. The errors of the clock, or the difference of the sun's observed transits, and of his computed right ascensions, do not agree, as it is known, with the errors found by the transits of the stars. The former errors are always less. In this general result, the observations I have made agree with the Greenwich and Dublin observations, and with Mr. SOUTH's. The mean of the differences of the errors of the clock, as estimated by the sun and stars, is about six tenths of a second. And this

can be partly explained from the fact, that the mean right ascensions of the stars having been increased in late catalogues by Mr. POND, by about  $0^s.3$ , are greater, by that quantity, than in the catalogues from which the solar tables are computed. But the differences are very variable; they are greater in summer than in winter. It is far from my intention to be cautiously aiming at a merely safe opinion, when I state that, probably, the inequalities of these differences arise partly from the errors of the solar tables, and partly from instrumental derangement. Certain I am that such a derangement, in degree at least, cannot fail to have taken place with the transit instrument of this observatory when exposed to the sun, and also with the Greenwich transit. A derangement of the instrument may also take place if a stream of hot, or cold air, should blow partially on one brace.

It is incumbent on me then, at least, to make the experiment of removing the braces from the Cambridge instrument; whether or not the good that will thence result will be more than balanced by the evil of depriving the instrument of its props or supports in certain positions, is a point which I hope soon to ascertain.\*

\* It is proper to state, that the fixing of braces to the Cambridge instrument, was contrary to the opinion of Mr. DOLLOND. They were fixed in consequence of instructions communicated to him through me (then, with my concurrence) "to make the transit instrument, in all respects, like the Greenwich one." It is now necessary to amend what was done by reason of these instructions, and, probably, (for I am anxious to render the instrument perfect by finding out its defects) we shall soon have other alterations to make: but if we can change for the better, I am sure of the zealous co-operation of Mr. DOLLOND, who has always been, and continues to be, extremely solicitous to render the instrument as perfect as possible.